1 2	Peter A. Leeming SBN 119124 Law Offices of Peter A. Leeming 108 Locust Street, Suite 7 Santa Cruz, CA 95060	
3	Telephone (831) 425-8000 Facsimile (831) 425-8005	
5	Attorney for defendant JOHN ROSCOE	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	*E-FILED - 9/13/07	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 07-00373 RMW [RS]
11	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND
12	VS.	CONTINUING STATUS HEARING
13	JOHN ROSCOE, et al.,	AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 3161
14 15	Defendants)))
16	The United States of America, by Carlos Singh, Assistant United States	
17	Attorney, and the defendants in the above case, by and through Cynthia Lie,	
18	attorney for defendant Ned Roscoe, and Peter A. Leeming, attorney for defendant	
19	John Roscoe, hereby enter into this joint stipulation:	
20	The parties stipulate, and ask the Court to adopt as its FINDINGS that:	
21	1. This case is currently set for a status hearing on September 10, 2007.	
22	2. The defendants are jointly charged by indictment with in a six count	
23	indictment with conspiracy to commit bank fraud (Count One, 18 U.S.C. § 371) and	
24	with bank fraud (Counts Two through Six, 18 U.S.C. § 1344(2) and 2). The charges	
25	arise from the Roscoe's operation of a chain of stores called Cigarettes Cheaper	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 4

1

2

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Dated: 9/13/07

No. CR 07-00373 RMW [RS]

[] ORDER VACATING PRELIMINARY HEARING DATE AND **EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT, 18 U.S.C. SECTION 3161**

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the above Stipulation, the Court finds that that currently set date of September 10, 2007 is vacated. The case is re-calendared to October 22, 2007 at 9:00 AM for status. Time from September 10, 2007 to October 22, 2007 is excluded pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(B)(4), because of the need for effective preparation and because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Defendants

VS.

JOHN ROSCOE, et al,

U.S. Judge

Konald M. Whyte